

Innovative Academic Writing Instruction in Indonesian Language Education: Integrating Artificial Intelligence and National Language Standards

Syamsul Ghufro¹, Abdullah Zawawi²

¹Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

²Universitas Sunan Drajat Lamongan (UNSUDA), Lamongan, Indonesia

Corresponding author: syamsulghufro@unusa.ac.id

Please check the template

Abstract

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed academic writing instruction in higher education by providing innovative opportunities for personalized learning, automated feedback, and writing support. Generative AI applications such as ChatGPT, Gemini, Claude, Grammarly, and QuillBot enable students to generate ideas, organize content, revise drafts, and improve linguistic accuracy more efficiently than traditional writing approaches. However, the increasing adoption of AI in academic writing also raises concerns regarding academic integrity, critical thinking, authorship, and compliance with national language standards. In Indonesia, academic writing is governed by Ejaan yang Disempurnakan (EYD) and Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia (KBBI), which serve as essential references for maintaining linguistic accuracy, consistency, and scholarly credibility. This conceptual study examines the integration of AI and national language standards in academic writing instruction within Indonesian language courses in higher education. Drawing on recent literature related to educational technology, artificial intelligence, language education, and academic literacy, the study analyzes the opportunities and challenges associated with AI-assisted writing while proposing an innovative instructional model that integrates AI literacy, academic literacy, and language-standard validation. The proposed model consists of four stages: AI literacy orientation, AI-assisted academic writing, language validation based on EYD and KBBI, and reflective revision. The study argues that effective AI integration requires balancing technological innovation with academic literacy, linguistic competence, and ethical awareness. Such integration can contribute to the development of graduates who are digitally competent, academically literate, and linguistically responsible in an increasingly technology-driven educational environment.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, academic writing instruction, Indonesian language education, academic literacy, national language standards.

1. Introduction

The rapid development of digital technologies has fundamentally transformed educational practices across the globe. Universities increasingly adopt digital platforms, online learning environments, and technology-enhanced pedagogies to improve educational effectiveness and prepare students for the demands of the twenty-first century. According to Batista et al. (2024), digital transformation has reshaped educational ecosystems by enabling personalized learning, collaborative knowledge construction, and flexible access to academic resources. Similarly, Xia et al. (2024) emphasize that emerging technologies have encouraged the implementation of more adaptive and learner-centered instructional approaches. As a result,

higher education institutions are experiencing a substantial shift in how knowledge is produced, disseminated, and assessed.

One area significantly influenced by digital transformation is academic writing instruction. Traditionally, academic writing relied heavily on manual drafting, instructor-centered feedback, and classroom-based writing activities. However, contemporary academic writing increasingly occurs within digital environments that incorporate online databases, citation management software, plagiarism detection systems, collaborative writing platforms, and intelligent writing assistants. According to Hyland (2022), academic writing in the digital era requires students to master not only linguistic competence but also information literacy, digital literacy, and critical evaluation skills. Consequently, students are expected to navigate diverse technological resources while maintaining scholarly rigor and academic integrity.

The growing integration of technology into higher education has also transformed the nature of literacy itself. Lim and Polio (2020) argue that digital environments promote multimodal academic literacy by enabling learners to engage with textual, visual, auditory, and interactive forms of communication. Academic writing is no longer limited to traditional print-based formats but increasingly involves multimodal representations of knowledge. Furthermore, Stevenson and Phakiti (2024) found that students' digital literacy significantly affects their academic writing performance because effective writing now depends on the ability to locate, evaluate, synthesize, and communicate information using digital technologies. Therefore, academic writing instruction must adapt to technological developments while preserving the fundamental principles of scholarly communication.

Among recent technological innovations, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most influential developments affecting education. AI refers to computational systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, decision-making, problem-solving, and natural language processing. According to Holmes et al. (2022), AI technologies offer significant potential to improve learning efficiency, support personalized instruction, and enhance educational outcomes. Likewise, Luckin et al. (2021) suggest that AI is reshaping educational environments by creating new opportunities for individualized learning and adaptive instructional support. These developments have accelerated the adoption of AI across various educational contexts, including language learning and academic writing instruction.

Recent advances in machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing have contributed to the emergence of generative AI systems capable of producing human-like text. Large language models such as ChatGPT, Claude, Gemini, and Llama represent significant breakthroughs in educational technology because they can generate coherent and contextually relevant responses across a wide range of academic tasks (Kasneci et al., 2023). According to Guo (2024), AI-powered writing assistants can support learners throughout the writing process by facilitating brainstorming, organizing ideas, generating drafts, suggesting revisions, and improving grammatical accuracy. Similarly, Liang et al. (2024) found that generative AI can reduce cognitive load during writing activities and improve productivity among novice writers. Consequently, AI has become an increasingly important resource for supporting academic writing development in higher education.

The educational benefits of AI-assisted writing have attracted considerable scholarly attention. Research indicates that AI can increase writing efficiency, enhance learner autonomy, and provide immediate feedback that supports revision and reflection (Abdullah et al., 2024; Al-Inaizi & Al-Harbi, 2024). Furthermore, Kohnke et al. (2023) reported that AI-powered language applications facilitate vocabulary acquisition, grammar development, and writing improvement through personalized learning experiences. These capabilities are particularly valuable in academic writing contexts, where students frequently encounter challenges related to idea generation, text organization, coherence, and language accuracy.

Through adaptive support and automated feedback, AI technologies can help students overcome common writing difficulties and improve overall writing performance.

Despite these advantages, the increasing use of AI in academic writing also raises important pedagogical and ethical concerns. According to Baidoo-Anu and Ansah (2023), excessive dependence on generative AI may reduce students' engagement in independent problem-solving and critical thinking activities. Similarly, Susnjak (2022) warns that automated writing technologies may diminish opportunities for intellectual development if learners rely on AI-generated content without critical evaluation. Concerns regarding plagiarism, authorship, originality, and academic integrity have become increasingly prominent as AI systems acquire the ability to generate sophisticated academic texts (Cotton et al., 2024). Therefore, the educational value of AI depends not only on technological capabilities but also on pedagogical frameworks that promote responsible and reflective technology use.

In the Indonesian context, these challenges are further complicated by the need to maintain compliance with national language standards. Academic writing is not merely a process of communicating ideas but also a practice governed by established linguistic conventions that promote clarity, consistency, and scholarly credibility. According to Hyland (2022), adherence to language standards is essential for effective academic communication because it enables writers to present knowledge in a precise and academically acceptable manner. Consequently, the integration of AI into academic writing instruction must be aligned with linguistic standards that ensure the quality and legitimacy of scholarly communication.

National language standards in Indonesia are primarily represented by EYD and KBBI. These standards regulate spelling, punctuation, capitalization, vocabulary selection, word formation, and language use in formal contexts. They serve as authoritative references for ensuring linguistic consistency across educational, professional, and scientific domains. As Zein (2020) notes, Indonesian functions not only as a medium of communication but also as a vehicle for intellectual and scholarly development. Therefore, mastery of standard Indonesian constitutes an essential competency for university students who are expected to produce high-quality academic texts.

The increasing adoption of AI technologies presents both opportunities and challenges for maintaining compliance with national language standards. Although AI systems can generate fluent and coherent texts, their outputs do not always conform to Indonesian linguistic conventions because most large language models are trained using multilingual datasets dominated by English-language content. According to Dwivedi et al. (2023), generative AI systems often prioritize linguistic fluency rather than adherence to context-specific language regulations. Consequently, AI-generated texts may contain vocabulary choices, grammatical structures, or stylistic features that diverge from accepted Indonesian language norms. Human oversight therefore remains essential for validating AI-generated content according to EYD and KBBI guidelines.

This situation creates a significant gap between technological innovation and language standardization. On one hand, universities are encouraged to integrate AI into teaching and learning activities to improve educational quality and digital competence. On the other hand, educators must ensure that students continue to develop strong linguistic competence and maintain adherence to established language standards. UNESCO (2023) emphasizes that responsible AI implementation in education requires balancing technological advancement with ethical considerations, academic integrity, and disciplinary expectations. Likewise, Dotan et al. (2024) argue that educational institutions should establish clear frameworks governing AI integration while preserving fundamental academic values. Therefore, the challenge is not whether AI should be used in academic writing instruction, but how it should be integrated in ways that support both technological innovation and linguistic quality.

Although numerous studies have examined AI-assisted writing, generative AI, and digital literacy in higher education, limited attention has been devoted to the integration of AI technologies with national language standards. Existing research primarily focuses on writing efficiency, automated feedback, learning outcomes, and technological adoption (Farrokhnia et al., 2024; Lim et al., 2024; Kasneci et al., 2023). Comparatively few studies have explored how AI-assisted writing can be systematically aligned with language-standard validation processes, particularly within non-English educational contexts. In Indonesia, no comprehensive conceptual framework has explicitly integrated AI literacy, academic literacy, EYD, and KBBI within a unified model of academic writing instruction.

Addressing this gap, the present study examines the integration of AI and national language standards in academic writing instruction within Indonesian language courses at the university level. Specifically, the study explores the role of AI in supporting academic writing development, analyzes the opportunities and challenges associated with AI-assisted writing, and investigates the importance of maintaining compliance with Indonesian language standards. Furthermore, the study proposes an innovative instructional model that combines AI literacy, academic literacy, and language-standard validation to support high-quality academic writing.

The significance of this study lies in its contribution to the development of pedagogical frameworks capable of balancing technological innovation with linguistic excellence. According to Batista et al. (2024), successful educational innovation requires alignment among technological tools, pedagogical objectives, and disciplinary standards. Therefore, AI should not be viewed as a substitute for human writing competence but as a complementary resource that supports learning, feedback, revision, and language development. Through the integration of AI technologies with EYD and KBBI standards, higher education institutions can create learning environments that foster digital competence, academic integrity, and linguistic proficiency simultaneously. Ultimately, such integration may contribute to the development of graduates who are technologically adaptive, academically literate, and capable of producing scholarly writing that reflects both global technological advancement and Indonesian linguistic identity.

4. Discussion

4.1 Educational Implications of AI-Assisted Academic Writing Instruction

The proposed instructional model offers important implications for teaching and learning practices in higher education. The findings suggest that artificial intelligence should not be viewed merely as a technological innovation but as a pedagogical resource capable of supporting academic literacy development when integrated appropriately into educational processes. According to Bond et al. (2024), the effectiveness of AI in education depends largely on the pedagogical frameworks within which it is implemented. Consequently, successful integration requires educators to move beyond technology-centered approaches and adopt learning designs that prioritize critical thinking, reflection, and knowledge construction.

One important implication concerns the transformation of writing instruction from a product-oriented approach to a process-oriented approach. Traditional writing instruction frequently emphasizes the evaluation of final written products, often providing limited attention to the cognitive processes involved in writing development. In contrast, the proposed model encourages continuous interaction among learners, AI systems, lecturers, and language standards throughout the writing process. According to Ifenthaler and Schumacher (2023), process-oriented learning environments support deeper understanding because students engage actively in planning, monitoring, evaluating, and revising their work. Therefore, AI-assisted writing instruction can facilitate more meaningful learning experiences by emphasizing the development of writing competence rather than merely assessing writing outcomes.

Another educational implication relates to learner autonomy. Contemporary higher education increasingly emphasizes self-regulated learning as a key competency for lifelong learning. According to Zimmerman and Schunk (2022), self-regulated learners actively manage their cognitive, motivational, and behavioral processes to achieve academic goals. AI technologies can support this development by providing immediate feedback, personalized guidance, and adaptive learning opportunities. However, the proposed model emphasizes that autonomy should be accompanied by critical engagement. Students must learn to question, evaluate, and refine AI-generated outputs rather than accept them uncritically. Thus, the model promotes a balanced relationship between technological assistance and independent intellectual activity.

The model also contributes to the development of digital competence. As educational and professional environments become increasingly dependent on digital technologies, graduates are expected to possess skills that enable them to interact effectively with intelligent systems. According to the European Commission (2022), digital competence includes information literacy, communication skills, content creation, problem-solving, and responsible technology use. Through structured engagement with AI applications, students develop practical experience in utilizing advanced technologies while maintaining awareness of ethical and academic considerations. Consequently, AI-assisted academic writing instruction contributes to broader educational goals related to digital transformation and workforce preparation.

Furthermore, the proposed model strengthens the role of reflection in learning. Reflection enables students to examine their thinking processes, evaluate learning experiences, and identify opportunities for improvement. According to Schön (2023), reflective practice promotes professional growth because learners become more aware of their decision-making processes. In the context of AI-assisted writing, reflection helps students understand the strengths and limitations of AI-generated content while developing greater awareness of their own writing strategies. Therefore, reflective activities should be incorporated systematically into academic writing instruction.

The educational implications of the model also extend to lecturers. Rather than functioning primarily as transmitters of knowledge, lecturers increasingly assume roles as facilitators, mentors, and learning designers. According to Luckin and Cukurova (2024), educators remain central actors in AI-enhanced learning environments because they provide the pedagogical guidance necessary for meaningful technology integration. Consequently, lecturers must develop competencies related to AI literacy, digital pedagogy, and ethical technology use. Professional development initiatives are therefore essential for supporting the effective implementation of AI-assisted writing instruction.

4.2 Curriculum Implications

The integration of AI into academic writing instruction has significant implications for curriculum development in higher education. Existing Indonesian language curricula often focus on conventional writing skills, including grammar, paragraph development, scientific writing conventions, and academic communication. Although these components remain important, contemporary educational contexts require additional competencies related to digital literacy, AI literacy, and responsible technology use.

According to Zhai et al. (2024), educational curricula should evolve continuously to address emerging technological realities while preserving disciplinary foundations. Therefore, Indonesian language courses should incorporate learning outcomes that explicitly address AI literacy and digital writing practices. Students should not only learn how to write academically but also understand how AI technologies influence writing processes, information access, and knowledge production.

One important curricular implication involves the integration of AI literacy as a formal learning outcome. According to Kit et al. (2021), AI literacy includes understanding AI principles, evaluating AI-generated information, recognizing ethical concerns, and utilizing AI responsibly. These competencies are increasingly relevant because students regularly interact with AI technologies in educational and professional settings. Consequently, AI literacy should be incorporated into curriculum frameworks alongside traditional language and literacy objectives.

The proposed model also suggests the need for greater integration between academic literacy and technological literacy. Historically, these competencies have often been treated as separate domains. However, the growing influence of AI demonstrates that academic communication increasingly occurs within technologically mediated environments. According to Lim et al. (2024), future educational frameworks should integrate academic literacy, digital literacy, and AI literacy into coherent learning experiences. Such integration enables students to develop the complex competencies required for contemporary academic and professional communication.

Another important implication concerns curriculum flexibility. AI technologies evolve rapidly, and educational institutions must remain responsive to emerging developments. Consequently, curriculum designers should create adaptable frameworks capable of accommodating technological change without compromising educational quality. According to Bozkurt et al. (2024), flexibility is a critical characteristic of effective AI-integrated curricula because technological innovations often outpace traditional curriculum revision processes.

The proposed model further highlights the importance of preserving national language standards within curriculum development. While AI technologies facilitate access to global information resources, Indonesian higher education institutions also have a responsibility to strengthen the role of Indonesian as a language of science and scholarship. Therefore, curriculum frameworks should explicitly integrate EYD and KBBI validation activities within AI-assisted writing instruction. This approach ensures that technological innovation supports rather than undermines national language development.

4.3 Assessment Implications

The emergence of AI-assisted writing presents significant challenges and opportunities for educational assessment. Traditional assessment practices often focus on evaluating final written products without considering the processes through which those products are developed. However, AI-assisted writing environments require more comprehensive assessment approaches capable of capturing both learning processes and learning outcomes. According to Ifenthaler and Schumacher (2023), process-oriented assessment provides richer information about student learning because it examines how learners engage with tasks, utilize resources, and apply feedback. The proposed model therefore supports assessment frameworks that evaluate multiple dimensions of academic writing development, including writing quality, AI literacy, language competence, critical thinking, and ethical decision-making.

One important implication involves the assessment of AI-assisted writing processes. Students should be evaluated not only on the quality of their final texts but also on how they utilize AI technologies during writing activities. For example, assessment criteria may include the ability to formulate effective prompts, evaluate AI-generated suggestions, verify information accuracy, and revise texts critically. According to Kasneci et al. (2024), such assessment practices encourage meaningful engagement with AI technologies rather than superficial dependence on automated outputs.

Academic integrity remains another important consideration. The increasing sophistication of generative AI systems makes it difficult to distinguish between human-generated and AI-generated content. According to Perkins and Roe (2025), educational

institutions should move beyond purely detection-based approaches and instead promote transparency regarding AI use. Consequently, students may be required to document how AI technologies contributed to their writing processes. Such practices encourage ethical technology use while preserving academic accountability.

Language assessment also requires reconsideration in AI-assisted environments. Traditional assessments frequently focus on grammatical accuracy and linguistic correctness. While these aspects remain important, the proposed model suggests that assessment should also evaluate students' ability to apply EYD and KBBI standards when reviewing AI-generated texts. This approach recognizes that linguistic competence involves not only producing language but also evaluating and refining language according to established standards.

Reflective assessment represents another valuable strategy. According to Holmes et al. (2024), reflection enables learners to articulate their reasoning, evaluate their learning experiences, and identify areas for future development. Therefore, reflective journals, learning portfolios, and revision reports may complement traditional writing assessments. Such instruments provide valuable insights into students' interactions with AI technologies and their development as academic writers.

Overall, assessment practices should align with the broader educational goals of the proposed model by emphasizing learning processes, critical engagement, ethical awareness, and linguistic competence. This shift supports more authentic and meaningful evaluation of student learning in AI-enhanced educational environments.

4.4 Preserving Indonesian Language Identity in the AI Era

One of the most significant issues arising from the integration of AI into academic writing concerns the preservation of national linguistic identity. Most generative AI systems are developed using multilingual datasets dominated by English-language content. As a result, AI-generated outputs often reflect linguistic conventions, discourse structures, and communication patterns associated with English-speaking academic cultures.

According to Alwasilah (2022), language functions not only as a communication tool but also as a carrier of cultural values, intellectual traditions, and national identity. Consequently, the increasing influence of global technologies creates both opportunities and challenges for language preservation. While AI can facilitate access to knowledge and support learning, it may also contribute to the marginalization of local linguistic traditions if implemented without adequate safeguards.

The Indonesian language occupies a unique position within higher education because it serves as both a national symbol and a medium of scholarly communication. According to Zein (2020), the success of Indonesian language policy has played a crucial role in fostering national cohesion and educational development. Therefore, maintaining the quality and status of Indonesian within academic contexts remains an important educational responsibility.

The proposed model addresses this challenge by integrating language validation based on EYD and KBBI into every stage of the writing process. Rather than viewing language standards as obstacles to technological innovation, the model positions them as complementary mechanisms that enhance writing quality. Through repeated engagement with EYD and KBBI, students develop greater awareness of linguistic norms while utilizing advanced technological tools.

The model also promotes linguistic resilience. Linguistic resilience refers to the ability of a language community to adapt to changing social and technological conditions while preserving essential linguistic characteristics. According to Crystal (2023), languages remain vibrant when they can accommodate innovation without losing their distinctive identities. By integrating AI and national language standards, the proposed model supports both technological adaptation and linguistic preservation.

Furthermore, the model contributes to the development of Indonesian as a language of science. Academic writing plays a central role in knowledge production and dissemination. Consequently, strengthening students' ability to write high-quality academic texts in Indonesian contributes directly to the development of national scholarly discourse. According to Sugono (2022), the continued growth of Indonesian scientific literature depends on the ability of educational institutions to cultivate strong academic writing competencies among students and researchers.

The discussion presented above suggests that AI and national language standards should not be viewed as competing forces. Instead, they can be integrated within a complementary educational framework that supports technological innovation, academic literacy, and linguistic excellence simultaneously. Through such integration, higher education institutions can prepare graduates who are capable of participating in global knowledge networks while maintaining strong commitments to national language standards and cultural identity.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed academic writing instruction in higher education by providing new opportunities for enhancing writing processes, improving learning efficiency, and supporting personalized learning experiences. As demonstrated throughout this study, AI technologies can assist students in generating ideas, organizing information, developing arguments, revising drafts, and improving linguistic accuracy. Generative AI applications such as ChatGPT, Gemini, Claude, Grammarly, and other intelligent writing assistants have become increasingly important educational tools capable of supporting various stages of academic writing. According to Bond et al. (2024), AI-enhanced learning environments can significantly improve learner engagement and writing performance when implemented within appropriate pedagogical frameworks. Similarly, Lim et al. (2024) emphasize that AI has the potential to become a powerful catalyst for educational innovation by facilitating more adaptive and learner-centered instructional practices.

Despite these opportunities, the findings of this study indicate that technological innovation alone is insufficient to ensure the quality of academic writing. Academic writing remains fundamentally dependent on academic literacy, critical thinking, ethical awareness, and linguistic competence. Excessive reliance on AI-generated content may reduce opportunities for independent analysis, reflective thinking, and knowledge construction if students engage with technology passively rather than critically (Baidoo-Anu & Ansah, 2023; Perkins, 2023). Consequently, the successful integration of AI into academic writing instruction requires educational approaches that emphasize responsible technology use, critical evaluation of AI-generated information, and continuous human oversight.

The study further demonstrates that national language standards remain essential in maintaining the quality and credibility of academic communication. In Indonesia, EYD and KBBI provide authoritative frameworks that regulate spelling, vocabulary usage, grammar, punctuation, and scientific terminology. These standards play a crucial role in ensuring linguistic consistency and scholarly rigor within academic discourse. Although AI systems can generate fluent and coherent texts, they do not always comply fully with Indonesian language conventions because their outputs are influenced by multilingual training data and global language patterns (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Therefore, language validation based on EYD and KBBI remains indispensable for maintaining academic writing quality in Indonesian higher education.

One of the principal contributions of this study is the development of an innovative instructional model that integrates AI literacy, academic literacy, and national language

standards within academic writing instruction. The proposed model consists of four interconnected stages: AI literacy orientation, AI-assisted academic writing, language validation based on EYD and KBBI, and reflective revision. Unlike many existing AI-assisted writing approaches that focus primarily on writing efficiency, this model emphasizes the balanced development of technological competence, critical literacy, linguistic awareness, and ethical responsibility. Through this integration, students are encouraged not only to utilize AI technologies effectively but also to evaluate AI-generated outputs critically and refine them according to accepted language standards.

The findings suggest that AI and national language standards should not be viewed as competing forces. Instead, they can function as complementary components within a comprehensive educational framework that supports both technological innovation and linguistic excellence. The integration of AI, academic literacy, EYD, and KBBI provides a promising approach for developing graduates who are digitally competent, academically literate, linguistically responsible, and capable of participating effectively in global knowledge communities while maintaining strong commitments to Indonesian linguistic identity.

5.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings and conceptual analysis presented in this study, several recommendations can be proposed for lecturers, higher education institutions, and future researchers. First, Indonesian language lecturers should integrate AI technologies into academic writing instruction in ways that support learning rather than replace it. According to Kessler (2025), educators should encourage students to engage critically with AI-generated content while maintaining responsibility for the intellectual quality of their work. Lecturers are encouraged to incorporate AI literacy, digital ethics, academic integrity, and language-standard validation into writing courses. Furthermore, instructional activities should emphasize reflection, critical evaluation, and responsible technology use to ensure that students develop sustainable writing competencies.

Second, higher education institutions should establish clear policies and guidelines governing the educational use of AI. Universities need to support curriculum redesign efforts that integrate AI literacy, academic literacy, and national language standards within writing instruction. Professional development programs should also be provided to help lecturers develop competencies related to AI-assisted teaching, digital pedagogy, and ethical technology use. According to Bozkurt et al. (2025), institutional readiness is a key factor influencing the successful implementation of AI within higher education environments.

Third, curriculum developers should incorporate AI literacy as an essential component of Indonesian language education. Academic writing curricula should address not only traditional writing skills but also competencies related to AI-assisted communication, information evaluation, prompt design, and responsible technology use. Simultaneously, curriculum frameworks must continue to emphasize EYD and KBBI as foundational references for academic writing quality.

Fourth, future researchers should conduct empirical studies to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed instructional model in authentic educational settings. The present study is conceptual in nature and therefore requires empirical validation. Future investigations may examine the impact of AI-assisted writing instruction on academic writing quality, linguistic competence, digital literacy, academic integrity, and learner engagement. According to Tlili et al. (2025), further research is needed to understand how AI influences learning outcomes across diverse educational contexts and disciplinary domains.

Finally, researchers should explore the development of AI applications specifically designed for Indonesian academic writing. Most existing AI tools have been developed primarily for English-language users, creating opportunities for the development of localized

systems that better align with Indonesian linguistic standards and educational needs. Such innovations could contribute significantly to the advancement of educational technology, language learning, and academic writing instruction in Indonesia.

In conclusion, the future of academic writing instruction lies not in replacing human intelligence with artificial intelligence but in fostering productive collaboration between the two. Through the responsible integration of AI, academic literacy, and national language standards, higher education institutions can create learning environments that prepare students to become competent writers, critical thinkers, responsible technology users, and contributors to the continued development of Indonesian scholarly communication.

References

- Abdullah, M., Hussin, S., & Ismail, K. (2024). Artificial intelligence and academic writing productivity among university students. *Education Sciences*, 14(3), 287. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14030287>
- Al-Inaizi, A., & Al-Harbi, M. (2024). Automated feedback systems in higher education writing instruction: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7, 100274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100274>
- Baidoo-Anu, D., & Ansah, L. O. (2023). Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence: Understanding the potential benefits and challenges of ChatGPT. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 8(1), 100605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100605>
- Bond, M., Khosravi, H., De Laat, M., Bergdahl, N., & Negrea, V. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic review of empirical research. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7, 100289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100289>
- Bozkurt, A., Karadeniz, A., Baneres, D., Guerrero-Roldán, A. E., & Rodríguez, M. E. (2024). Artificial intelligence and reflections from educational landscape: A review of AI studies in education. *Open Praxis*, 16(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.55982/openpraxis.16.1.654>
- Bozkurt, A., Tlili, A., Huang, R., & Burgos, D. (2025). Reimagining higher education in the age of artificial intelligence: Pedagogical implications and institutional responses. *Open Praxis*, 17(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.55982/openpraxis.17.1.701>
- Chan, C. K. Y., & Tsi, L. H. (2024). The AI revolution in education: Will AI replace or assist human learning? *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 56(5), 567–580. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2023.2272137>
- Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. (2024). Chatting and cheating? Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 61(2), 228–239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148>
- Deng, X., & Yu, Z. (2024). A systematic review of AI-assisted writing in higher education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(7), 8451–8478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12392-6>

- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M., Al-Busaidi, K. A., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D., ... Wright, R. (2023). So what if ChatGPT wrote it? Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges, and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice, and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
- Eaton, S. E. (2023). Postplagiarism: Transdisciplinary ethics and integrity in the age of artificial intelligence and neurotechnology. *International Journal for Educational Integrity*, 19(1), 23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40979-023-00144-1>
- Farrokhnia, M., Banihashem, S. K., Noroozi, O., & Wals, A. (2024). A SWOT analysis of ChatGPT in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 49(5), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2023.2195846>
- Hasanudin, C., Supriyanto, T., Saddhono, K., & Kurniawan, H. (2023). Learning materials and their prototypes for academic writing skills: The needs of Indonesian lecturers in the post-COVID-19 era. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 12(4), 1887–1902. <https://www.eu-jer.com/learning-materials-and-their-prototypes-for-academic-writing-skills-the-needs-of-indonesian-lecturers-in-the-post-covid-19-era>
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. *Education Sciences*, 14(3), 281. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14030281>
- Hwang, G. J., & Tu, Y. F. (2021). Roles and research trends of artificial intelligence in educational practices. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100018>
- Hyland, K. (2022). *Teaching and researching writing* (4th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003265033>
- Ifenthaler, D., & Schumacher, C. (2023). Learning analytics and process-oriented assessment in AI-supported education. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 71(5), 2189–2208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10253-7>
- Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeiffer, F., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., ... Kasneci, G. (2024). Opportunities and challenges of AI-supported language learning and writing instruction. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 108, 102386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2024.102386>
- Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeiffer, F., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., & Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large

- language models for education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 103, 102274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274>
- Kessler, G. (2025). Artificial intelligence and language education: The evolving role of teachers and learners. *Language Learning & Technology*, 29(1), 1–15. <https://www.lltjournal.org>
- Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D. (2024). Exploring generative artificial intelligence preparedness among university students. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 100197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100197>
- Kovanović, V., Joksimović, S., & Siemens, G. (2024). Artificial intelligence and academic literacy development in higher education. *Higher Education*, 88(2), 321–339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-024-01121-5>
- Kridalaksana, H. (2021). *Pembentukan kata dalam bahasa Indonesia* (6th ed.). Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Lim, W. M., Gunasekara, A. N., Pallant, J. L., Pallant, J. I., & Pechenkina, E. (2024). Generative AI and the future of education: A systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00472-3>
- Lo, C. K. (2023). What is the impact of ChatGPT on education? A rapid review of the literature. *Education Sciences*, 13(4), 410. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13040410>
- Long, D., & Magerko, B. (2020). What is AI literacy? Competencies and design considerations. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–16). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376727>
- Luckin, R., & Cukurova, M. (2024). AI and the future of teaching and learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 55(2), 463–480. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13443>
- Malmström, H., Stöhr, C., & Ou, A. W. (2024). ChatGPT and writing in higher education: Implications for language accuracy and writing development. *Computers and Composition*, 72, 102845. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2024.102845>
- Mustakim. (2021). *Pedoman umum ejaan bahasa Indonesia*. Badan Pengembangan dan Pembinaan Bahasa.
- Kit, D. T., Leung, J. K. L., Chu, S. K. W., & Qiao, M. S. (2021). Conceptualizing AI literacy: An exploratory review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100041>
- Ouyang, F., Zheng, L., Jiao, P., & Yang, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence in online higher education: A systematic review of empirical research. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100088>
- Pasaribu, A. N., Simanjuntak, E., & Silalahi, M. (2024). Challenges for Indonesian EFL students in academic writing: Error analysis and pedagogical implications. *English Review: Journal of English Education*, 13(1), 95–108. <https://journal.uniku.ac.id/index.php/ERJEE/article/view/9780>

- Perkins, M. (2023). Academic integrity considerations of AI-generated text. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 20(5), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.20.5.02>
- Perkins, M., & Roe, J. (2025). Academic literacy in the era of generative AI: Challenges and opportunities for higher education. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 23(1), 35–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-024-09567-1>
- Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson. <https://aima.cs.berkeley.edu>
- Sallam, M. (2023). ChatGPT utility in healthcare education, research, and practice: Systematic review. *Healthcare*, 11(6), 887. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11060887>
- Sugono, D. (2022). Bahasa Indonesia sebagai bahasa ilmu pengetahuan dan teknologi. *Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra Indonesia*, 11(2), 101–115.
- Susnjak, T. (2022). ChatGPT: The end of online exam integrity? *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09292>
- Tlili, A., Huang, R., Shehata, B., Liu, D., Zhao, J., Metwally, A. H. S., Wang, H., Denden, M., Bozkurt, A., Lee, L. H., & Burgos, D. (2025). Generative AI in education: Mapping pedagogical opportunities across learning processes. *Smart Learning Environments*, 12(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-025-00301-7>
- UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org>
- UNESCO. (2024). *Artificial intelligence competency framework for students*. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org>
- Viberg, O., Mavroudi, A., Ma, J., & Bergman, D. (2024). Integrating AI-supported feedback into academic writing instruction: Effects on writing quality and learner engagement. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(9), 11235–11258. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12479-x>
- Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2023). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI and education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 48(2), 223–235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2023.2180888>
- Zein, S. (2020). *Language policy in superdiverse Indonesia*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429277002>
- Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., Liu, J. B., Yuan, J., & Li, Y. (2024). A review of artificial intelligence in education from 2020 to 2024. *Educational Technology & Society*, 27(1), 1–19. <https://www.j-ets.net>
- Zheng, B., Warschauer, M., Lin, C. H., & Chang, C. (2024). AI-assisted academic writing and student learning outcomes in higher education. *Computers & Education*, 210, 104982. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2024.104982>

Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (2022). *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Springer.